arXiv:1207.7015v1 [math.ST] 3 Jul 2012

Priority and correctness on "From Schoenberg to Pick-Nevanlinna: Toward a complete picture of the variogram class" by Porcu and Schilling (2011)

CHUNSHENG MA

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Physics, Wichita State University, Wichita, KS 67260-0033, USA.

E-mail: chunsheng.ma@wichita.edu

It is not the purpose of this correspondence to complain about that six out of seven theorems listed in Porcu and Schilling [3] belong to others, but not to the authors themselves. This is simply a call for the priority, originality, and correctness.

(i) Theorem 2 listed in Porcu and Schilling [3] is not correct, for which a counterexample is $\phi(x) = \exp(-|x|) - 1, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) Lemma 9 in Porcu and Schilling [3] is not correct, for which a counterexample is

$$\gamma(\xi) = \begin{cases} 0, & \xi = \mathbf{0}, \\ 1, & \xi \neq \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) The proof of Theorem 8 on pages 448-449 of Porcu and Schilling [3], which is Theorem 3 (i) of Ma [2], is not correct. To see this, recall that a_1 and a_2 are two given positive constants in the theorem, and that, for the "only if" part, $(1 - e^{-a_1\gamma(\xi)})((1 - e^{-a_2\gamma(\xi)})$ is assumed to be a variogram. First of all, from these assumptions, there is no guarantee to claim that

$$\xi \longrightarrow \frac{(1 - e^{-a_1\gamma(\xi)})}{a_1} \cdot \frac{(1 - e^{-a_2\gamma(\xi)})}{a_2}$$

is a variogram for all $a_1, a_2 > 0$. Based on the assumption that $\gamma(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a homogeneous function, one can only infer that

$$\xi \longrightarrow \frac{(1 - e^{-a_1\lambda\gamma(\xi)})}{a_1\lambda} \cdot \frac{(1 - e^{-a_2\lambda\gamma(\xi)})}{a_2\lambda}$$

is a variogram for all $\lambda > 0$.

Second, it is doubtful to apply a Lévy-Khinchine representation for this case, only under the assumption that $\gamma(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, is a homogeneous function.

(iv) Section 4 of Porcu and Schilling [3] is not new, and Lemma 17 of Porcu and Schilling [3] is a special case of Theorem 3 of Ma [1], where no continuous assumption was made.

References

[1] Ma, C. (2003). Nonstationary covariance functions that model space-time interactions. *Statist. Prob. Lett.* **61** 411-419.

[2] Ma, C. (2007). Why is isotropy so prevalent in spatial statistics? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**, 865-871.

[3] Porcu, E. and Schilling, R. L. (2011). From Schoenberg to Pick-Nevanlinna: Toward a complete picture of the variogram class. *Bernoulli* **17**, 441-455.